

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/498,261	02/03/2000	Nicholas J. Mankovich	US000036	8558
75	90 01/22/2003			
Jack E Haken			EXAMINER	
	perty Department		ABDI, KAMBIZ	
580 White Plains Road Tarrytown, NY 10591			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• ,			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/498,261	MANKOVICH ET AL.
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kambiz Abdi	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the final Office action or
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection</li></ol>	on(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo</li> </ol>	(s) a)  will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 15-20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
10. ☐ Other:	_	
	John John John John John John John John	W Hayes

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The canceled claims have been incorporated into the existing claims which does not put the claimes in an allowable position at this point. Additionally the amended claims do not place the claims in a condition for allowance at this point. The amended claimes raise new issues such as "allowance of impluse purchase", "that the purchase can be made during the rendering of the content material". There is a need for further examination to determine the allowability of the claims as they have been presented in the latest amended forms.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There is a need for further examination to determine the allowability of the claims as they have been presented in the latest amended forms.